

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/817,353	(	03/26/2001	Philip S. Siegel	067439-0111	4525	
5073	7590	06/14/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER E	BOTTS L.I	∠.P.	FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A			
2001 ROSS SUITE 600	S AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	TX 75201	1-2980	3627			

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. *		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/817,353		SIEGEL	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
		Joseph A	. Fischetti	3627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of the	N. 1.136(a). In no every within the stated will apply and witte, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely communication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	March 2005		,	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	his action is r	non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•		e merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-34</u> is/are withdruclaim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction and	awn from cor			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the ${\mathfrak l}$	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) I	be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have bee ents have bee riority documo eau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage
Attachmen	t/e)		•		
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2)	be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	08) .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

M.

## Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 21-34 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claim 21 does not contain the identifying limitation of claism1 and claim 1 does not contain the storing limitation of claim 21. Claim 34 does not contain the limitation of gathering while claim 1 does not contain the limitation of storing.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 10-34 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haseltine.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,353

Art Unit: 3627

Haseltine discloses a method for processing the local return of remotely purchased merchandise on the WWW (see Par. # 0025): more specifically, it discloses

- 1. the user is identified by the packing slip which he/she bears;
- 2. transaction history is linked to the bar code packing slip which is gathered when the bar code is read, one of the items of the transaction history which is gathered is statement of the return policy of the e-tailer see, par. 28;
- 3. the transaction history is called up by the associate in front of the walk-in returner and inherent is to show the returner what the terms a of the return policy is at this point as questions are inherent in any such return process.
- 4. by initiating the return process to the associate 24, the user has thus selected the transaction displayed by the statement of the return policy of the e-tailer.

Re claims 2,3: retrieving a user preference profile for the user is read as -the record on the retailer's site- because somewhere in that record is information which has some preference e.g. which credit card chosen to use versus cash (see par. 0037 for options for refunds).

Re Claim 4: notifying the retailer of the merchandise to be returned (retailer is notified via the associate 42).

Re claim 5: see par.0028 which discloses information yielded by the swiping of slip 26 which includes information on both buyer and seller, retailer return policy and shipper, buyer etc.

Art Unit: 3627

RE claim 6 : slip 26 is read as the return shipping label because using it effects the item's return.

Re claim 7: see par. 0039 "such and such shipper" is notified of shipping request for return.

Re claim 9: see par. 0025 for Internet communication.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haseltine in view of Dodd.

Haseltine discloses a method for processing the local return of remotely purchased merchandise on the WWW (see Par. # 0025): identifying the user (swiping of packing slip 26 identifies the user; and gathers transaction information associated with the identified user (see Par.# 0028); displaying a transaction history associated with a user (see Para.# 0028, bar coded slip yields e.g. information surrounding the transaction history as a whole, one transaction is capable of qualifying as "the transaction history" if that one transaction is all that occurred); and initiating a returns process in response to selection of at least one individual transaction by the user

Application/Control Number: 09/817,353

Art Unit: 3627

(swiping of the label 26 initiates the return process in response to the returnee selecting the product to be returned).

However, it does so in the context of the user being the point of return associate 42 rather than the customer. However, Dodd does disclose a user as the customer and thus displays return information to the user and causes him to select a return process see, col. 98 lines 42 et seq. displayed to him for selection. It would be obvious to modify the method of Haseltine to include the user driven self return process of Dodd and to provide a selection step, the motivation being the ability to return a product without the need of going to a third party and the ability to allow a user to choose the mode of return e.g. return exchange etc.

Re claim 8: Haseltine disclose the problem with returns in that the customer and client may be separated by the entire breadth of the country making shipping for small products e.g. cookies (paragraph 0026) non cost effective. Thus it would be an obvious choice to try to sell the product for the best possible price so as not to incur a total loss and the old and notorious way of effecting this is the use of an auction.

Re claims 2-7, 9 see above analysis.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/817,353

Art Unit: 3627

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to PRIMARY

EXAMINER Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

Page 6